

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,148	12/21/2006	William L. Johnson	53601/L471	3170
23363 CHRISTIE PA	7590 12/17/2008 ARKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/573,148	JOHNSON ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
George P. Wyszomierski	1793					

omoorionen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George P. Wyszomierski	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 and the CSK (5) MONTH's from the making date of the communication. Failure to reply within the act or standed period for reply will. by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three montas after the making aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		(4) (6)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	the second second						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	oj Li nouce oi informai P	atent Affilication					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/07.

6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/573,148

Art Unit: 1793

- Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
 indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
 applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 2, the term "the cast alloy" lacks
 proper antecedent basis. Claims dependent on claim 1 are likewise rejected under this
 statute.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martis (U.S. Patent 5,340,413) or over Yoshizawa (U.S. Patent 7,141,127).

The prior art discloses iron-base alloys including an amorphous matrix (Martis) or a nanocrystalline matrix (Yoshizawa). The prior art alloys include an fcc phase; see Martis column 5, lines 19-22, or Yoshizawa column 8, lines 44-51 or column 10, lines 49-55. With respect to claims 2-4, the prior art materials are made by casting a material that is substantially amorphous and then heat treating to develop nanocrystalline phases, so at some point the prior art alloys would be substantially amorphous or substantially nanocrystalline as set forth in the instant claims. Further, at some point in such a process, the percentage of the matrix would be as defined in claim 5. The alloys may contain elements in percentages as recited in claim 7, and with regard to claims 12-15 may contain 0% of the recited elements, i.e. an amount "up to"

Art Unit: 1793

the maximum amounts recited in those claims. With respect to claim 8, the fcc phase recited in column 5, lines 19-22 of Martis does not contain any of the recited elements and thus it can be assumed that the percentage of those elements is higher in the matrix. In Yoshizawa, the fcc phase appears to be a Cu or Au base phase, also not containing any of the recited elements and similarly leaving those elements in the matrix phase.

The prior art does not specify materials "castable into 3-dimensional bulk objects" as recited in claim 1 or "three dimensional shape having a measurement of at least 0.5 mm in each dimension" as recited in claim 17. The examiner's position is that one of ordinary skill in the art would have easily been able to form products of the shapes as presently claimed from the materials as described in the prior art, using standard casting apparatus and equipment as generally employed by skilled metallurgists. Thus, the disclosures of Martis or of Yoshizawa are held to create a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

- 4. The remainder of the prior art cited on the attached PTO-892 and 1449 form is of interest. This art is held to be no more relevant to the claimed invention than the art as applied in the rejections supra. The Koch et al. article, listed under "Other Documents" on the 1449 form, has been crossed out because no copy of this document is of record as required by 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2).
- 5. Claims 6, 9-11, 16 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or suggest materials as claimed and which have a dendritic foc phase

Application/Control Number: 10/573,148 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

as in claim 6, a composition as recited in claims 9 and 10, or an fcc phase having a particle size as recited in claims 16 and 18.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

/George Wyszomierski/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

GPW December 15, 2008